



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

_	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	09/768,602	01	1/25/2001	Thomas E. Slowe	040000-680	3821	
	27045	7590	08/02/2004		EXAM	INER	
	ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE				MURPHY, F	MURPHY, RHONDA L	
	M/S EVR C11		,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PLANO, TX	75024			2667	"	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A-niAi Ni-	Applicant/s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/768,602	SLOWE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rhonda L Murphy	2667				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard processed by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		•				
,— .	This action is non-final.					
' 						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-9,11,13 and 14</u> is/are rejection	cted.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3,5,10 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	ta, or orosion roganismo.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Duianitus sundan 25 H C C \$ 440						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docun						
Certified copies of the priority docun						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	"	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/29/02</u> .	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		But (Bury N. W. D. Carallana)				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 07212004				
	Bést Avc	munie cohy				

Application/Control Number: 09/768,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4,6-9,11,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutgen et al. (US 6,160,639) in view of Narayanaswamy (US 6,611,358).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 8 and 14, Lutgen teaches a means for determining the ability of a first (originating facsimile machine 22) and second terminal (terminating facsimile machine 24) to reproduce information (col. 4, lines 45-51); means for establishing a first channel mode for the first terminal to communicate information to the second terminal

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/768,602 Page 3

Art Unit: 2667

(col. 6, lines 39-42), using the performance of the first terminal and the bandwidth of the first terminal's connection to the second terminal (col. 5, lines 56-60); means for establishing a second channel mode for the second terminal to communicate information to the first terminal (col. 6, lines 39-42), using the performance of the second terminal and the bandwidth of the second terminal's connection to the first terminal (col. 5, lines 56-60); and means for communicating between the first and second terminal in accordance with their respective channel modes (col. 6, lines 39-42).

Lutgen fails to teach a plurality of media formats in the above listed limitations and means for producing a list of media formats - which include a plurality of formats for each particular media - and can be reproduced by the first and second terminals.

However, Narayanaswamy teaches a plurality of media formats (col. 3, lines 9-18) and means for producing a list of various media formats, reproduced by the first and second terminals (col. 5, lines 30-32).

In view of this, having the method of Lutgen and then given the teaching of Narayanaswamy, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Lutgen's method by utilizing a plurality of media formats, so as to increase capability, quality and performance of the terminals.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, the combined system of Lutgen and Narayanaswamy teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 9 as described above. Lutgen further teaches the first channel mode established based upon one or more media, which provide a user of the first terminal with a greatest level of understanding of the information to be transmitted (col. 6, lines 21-26).

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/768,602

Art Unit: 2667

Page 4

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the combined system of Lutgen and Narayanaswamy teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claims 1,2,8 and 9 as described above. Lutgen further teaches that one or more media providing a user of the first terminal with a greatest level of understanding of the information to be transmitted is determined based upon user conditions (col. 6, lines 26-38).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, the combined system of Lutgen and Narayanaswamy teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claims 1,2,8 and 9 as described above.

Lutgen and Narayanaswamy's system fail to teach one or more media providing a user of the first terminal with a greatest level of understanding of the information to be transmitted is determined based upon user preferences.

Narayanaswamy further teaches that one or more media providing a user of the first terminal with a greatest level of understanding of the information to be transmitted is determined based upon user preferences (col. 4, lines 24-27).

In view of this, having the system of Lutgen and Narayanaswamy and then given the additional teaching of Narayanaswamy, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Lutgen and Narayanaswamy, to incorporate Narayanaswamy's media user preference, with the motivation to allow the end user to receive the most favorable media format.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,602

Art Unit: 2667

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3,5,10 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, prior art does not teach the provision of the greatest level of understanding of the information to be transmitted is determined by determining the media or combination of media which has the largest entropy per second taking into account the performance of the first terminal and the bandwidth of the first terminal's connection to the second terminal.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, prior art does not teach user conditions determined by using face finding and position tracking, whereby it can be determined whether a user is looking at a screen associated with a terminal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda L Murphy whose telephone number is (703) 308-9557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (703) 305-4798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,602

Art Unit: 2667

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rlm

PRIMARY EXAMINER